

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/683,822	10/10/2003 7590 08/18/2004	Yong-Hang Zhang	9138-0101US	2978	
28529 75			EXAM	EXAMINER	
GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P. A.			JACKSON JR, JEROME		
2575 E. CAMELBACK RD. #1100 PHOENIX, AZ 85016			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2815	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

								
		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)	3			
Office Action Summary		10/683	,822	ZHANG ET AL.				
		Examin	ier	Art Unit				
		Jerome	Jackson Jr.	2815				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on t	the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	lress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN resions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come reperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum si rure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a restatutory minimum of thirt will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this cor ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>18 June 2004</i>	<u>.</u>					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 16-22 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2 Applicant may not request that any objected the oath or declaration is objected to	2003 is/are: a)⊠ acction to the drawing(s g the correction is req) be held in abeyan uired if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFI	R 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	at(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) N Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2)	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-	-152)			

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 16-19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kizuki '618.

Kizuki teaches a plurality of III-V layers grown with a surfactant. See column 4 lines 47-67 to column 5 lines 1-50. Claim 16 is anticipated. Claim 17 is rejected as antimony, bismuth, and particularly thallium are disclosed as being effective surfactants. Claim 18 is rejected as the process of producing the device does not distinguish the final product over the applied art. Patentability of a product by process claim is determined by the final product, regardless of how actually made, In re Hirao 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessman 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim 191 USPQ 90; and In re Morosi 218 USPQ 289, all of which make it clear that it is patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process"

Application/Control Number: 10/683,822

Art Unit: 2815

claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above caselaw makes clear.

Claim 19 is rejected as the fifth embodiment of '618 teaches AlGaAs material.

Claim 22 is rejected as '618 teaches various devices such as HEMTs, HBTs, etc.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kizuki '618.

In regard to claims 20 and 21 note that Kizuki teaches antimony as a known surfactant. It would have been obvious to have practiced antimony surfactant for layer growth of smooth morphology in other III-V materials such as AlGaAs and GalnAs to form quantum well laser devices such as disclosed in column 1 lines 40-45.

Claims 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yang et al 6/99.

Yang discloses a laser device produced with Sb surfactant. Note that Al and Ga material are present in certain layers. Applicant's claims are anticipated by Yang or at least obvious as the process of producing may not be exactly the same. The final product however appears to be anticipated. See the above recited caselaw.

Art Unit: 2815

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 571 272 1730. The examiner can normally be reached on t-th 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 571 272 1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jj

JEROME JACKSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER